AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (Currently Amended): A content scanning system for electronic documents such as emails comprising:

- a) a link analyser <u>configured</u> operative to identify hyperlinks in [[the]] content of electronic documents;
- b) a hyperlink replacer <u>configured</u> operative to modify the electronic documents by replacing hyperlinks to external objects identified by the link analyser by new hyperlinks to respective targets on a trusted server; and
- c) a link database, the hyperlink replacer being configured to store in the link database data relating the replaced hyperlinks to the new hyperlinks; and
- d) [[e)]] an object server configured, operative on receipt of a request to retrieve an external object from one of said targets on the trusted server when a new hyperlink to said one of said targets is selected, to use the data stored in the link database to determine the replaced hyperlink which was replaced by the new hyperlink, to retrieve the external object referenced by the thus determined, replaced hyperlink, and to cause a content scanner to scan the retrieved external object referenced by the hyperlink which was replaced by the new hyperlink to said one of said targets and to determine the acceptability of the retrieved external object according to predefined rules, the object server being configured operative, responsive to [[a]] the replaced hyperlink being to an external object which is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, to retrieve the external object and supply the retrieved external object [[it]] in response to the request, and the object server being configured operative, responsive to [[a]] the replaced hyperlink being to an external object which is determined by the content scanner to be unacceptable, to perform remedial action.

Appl. No. 10/500,958

Amendment Accompanying Request for Continued Examination

Claim 2 (Currently Amended): A system according to claim 1 wherein the link analyser and the object server are configured operative to recursively process hyperlinks identified in external objects.

Claim 3 (Previously Presented): A system according to claim 2 in which only a maximum depth of recursion is permitted and the document is flagged as unacceptable if that limit is reached.

Claim 4 (Previously Presented): A system according to claim 1 wherein the remedial action comprises one or more of:

flagging or modifying the document to indicate that the content scanner has found the object to be unacceptable;

modifying the document by replacing the hyperlink by a non-functional hyperlink; and quarantining the document and sending the intended recipient an alert advising the recipient that this has been done.

Claim 5 (Currently Amended): A method of content-scanning electronic documents such as emails comprising:

- a) using a link analyser to identify hyperlinks in [[the]] content of electronic documents;
- b) modifying the electronic documents by replacing hyperlinks to external objects identified by the link analyser by new hyperlinks to respective targets on a trusted server and storing data relating said replaced hyperlinks to said new hyperlinks;
- c) responsive to a request to retrieve an external object from one of said targets on the trusted server when a new hyperlink to said one of said targets is selected, using the stored data to determine the replaced hyperlink which was replaced by the new hyperlink, retrieving the external object referenced by the thus determined, replaced hyperlink, and using a content scanner to scan the retrieved external object referenced by the hyperlink which was replaced by the new hyperlink to one of said targets and to determine the acceptability of the retrieved external object according to predefined rules;

Appl. No. 10/500,958

Amendment Accompanying Request for Continued Examination

d) responsive to [[a]] the replaced hyperlink being to an external object which is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, retrieving the external object and supplying the retrieved external object [[it]] in response to the request; and

e) responsive to [[a]] <u>the replaced hyperlink</u> being to an external object which is determined by the content scanner to be unacceptable, performing remedial action.

Claim 6 (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 5 wherein the steps a) through e) are used recursively to process hyperlinks identified in external objects.

Claim 7 (Original): A method according to claim 6 in which only a maximum depth of recursion is permitted and the document is flagged as unacceptable if that limit is reached.

Claim 8 (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 5, wherein the remedial action comprises one or more of:

flagging or modifying the document to indicate that the content scanner has found the object to be unacceptable;

modifying the document by replacing the hyperlink by a non-functional hyperlink; and quarantining the document and sending the intended recipient an alert advising the recipient that this has been done.

Claims 9-12 (Canceled).

Claim 13 (Currently Amended): A system according to claim 1, wherein the object server is <u>configured operative</u>, responsive to [[a]] <u>the replaced</u> hyperlink being to an external object which is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, to retrieve the external object, <u>further</u> to store <u>the retrieved external object</u> [[it]] on the trusted server and to supply it in response to the request.

Claim 14 (Currently Amended): A method according to claim 5, wherein the step of retrieving the external object and supplying the retrieved external object [[it]] in response to the

Appl. No. 10/500,958

Amendment Accompanying Request for Continued Examination

request, responsive to [[a]] the replaced hyperlink being to an external object which is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, further comprises storing the retrieved external object on the trusted scanner.

Claim 15 (Previously Presented): A method of content-scanning electronic documents comprising:

- a) identifying first hyperlinks in contents of electronic documents;
- b) modifying the electronic documents by replacing the identified first hyperlinks with different second hyperlinks which point to a trusted server;
 - c) storing data to relate the second hyperlinks to the first hyperlinks;
- d) in response to a request received by the trusted server when one of the second hyperlinks is selected, using the stored data to determine the first hyperlink corresponding to the selected second hyperlink and retrieving an object using the determined first hyperlink;
 - e) scanning the retrieved object in accordance with specified rules;
- f) making the retrieved object available in response to the received request if the retrieved object is determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules; and
- g) performing remedial action if the retrieved object is not determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules.

Claim 16 (Previously Presented): The method according to claim 15, further comprising:

generating the second hyperlinks based on one or more of a name of the trusted server, a time, a process identifier, a count that increments for each second hyperlink and a random number.

Claim 17 (Previously Presented): An e-mail gateway comprising a trusted server configured to scan e-mail, the trusted server programmed to perform operations comprising:

- a) identifying first hyperlinks in contents of e-mails;
- b) modifying the e-mails by replacing the identified first hyperlinks with different second hyperlinks which point to a trusted server;

Appl. No. 10/500,958

Amendment Accompanying Request for Continued Examination

- c) storing data that relates the second hyperlinks to the first hyperlinks;
- d) in response to a request received by the trusted server when one of the second hyperlinks is selected, using the stored data to determine the first hyperlink corresponding to the selected second hyperlink and retrieving an object using the determined first hyperlink;
 - e) scanning the retrieved object in accordance with specified rules;
- f) making the retrieved object available in response to the received request if the retrieved object is determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules; and
- g) performing remedial action if the retrieved object is not determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules.

Claim 18 (Previously Presented): The e-mail gateway according to claim 17, wherein the trusted server is programmed to perform further operations comprising:

identifying a third hyperlink in the retrieved object;

modifying the retrieved object by replacing the identified third hyperlink with a different fourth hyperlink which points to the trusted server; and

storing data that relates the fourth hyperlink to the third hyperlink.

Claim 19 (Previously Presented): The e-mail gateway according to claim 18, wherein the trusted server is programmed to perform further operations comprising:

in response to a request received by the trusted server when the fourth hyperlink is selected, using the stored data to determine the third hyperlink corresponding to the selected fourth hyperlink and retrieving another object using the determined third hyperlink;

scanning the retrieved other object in accordance with specified rules;

making the retrieved other object available in response to the received request if the retrieved other object is determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules; and

performing remedial action if the retrieved other object is not determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules.